

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgmia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/860,007 08/04/1997		08/04/1997	RALF BERSCHEID	62-209-45694	8493
20736	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER 2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700				BARTS, SAMUEL A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1621	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		08/860,007	BERSCHEID ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Samuel A. Barts	1621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the  cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/31 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>8,13,14,16-26 and 28-49</u> is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19,20,28-32 and 36-4</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8,13,14,16-18,21-26 and 33-35</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	19 is/are withdrawn from consider rejected.	ation.			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Newly submitted claims 48-49 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 48 is directed to a non-elected species because R<sub>1</sub> is no longer hydrogen. All previously examined claims, as well as the elected species, read on R<sub>1</sub> as hydrogen. Claim 49 further defines claim 10, which was withdrawn from consideration in the previous office actions.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 48-49 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 14, 16-18 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hopp.

Claims 8, 13, 14, 16-18, 21-25 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sipos.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hafner and Vogel.

Art Unit: 1621

These rejections are being maintained under the principle of *res judicata*<sup>1</sup>. An appellant is not entitled, after obtaining a final decision by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Appeals on an issue in a case to continue prosecution of the case without putting forth a substantially different argument and/or changing the weight of evidence by a submission. In this case, applicant has filed a RCE and requested that the examiner reconsider his position. The examiner was affirmed on all his rejections<sup>2</sup> and thus the principle of *res judicata* is appropriate here.

## Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Res judicata: a matter finally decided on its merits by a court having competent jurisdiction and not subject to litigation again between the same parties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Board's decision dated 8/31/05.

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel A Barts Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621